

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/388,195	SCHEIDT, EDWARD M.	

Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher A. Revak	2131		

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowance

(1) Christopher A. Revak.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas Champagne.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2006
Time: 2:00pm
Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

39,46

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to resolve some outstanding issues not originally identified by the examiner during prosecution. An examiner's amendment was agreed upon by the applicant's representative.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)